Interview Summary	Application No.  Applicant(s)  Mannel
	Examiner Roway 3643
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
11) MR Felger	
(2) Kurt Rowan	
Date of Interview 7-9-02	<del>_</del>
Type: a) Telephonic b) Uvideo Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 41,42	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  MR Felger discussed how the invention differed from  the prior art. Mr Felger discussed canceling claim 41 and  will lay the examiner an amendment with Limitations to  claim 42 to overcome the art of record.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required

Paper No. 26